HYDROGEOLOGIC STUDY CEDAR CHEMICAL CORPORATION WEST HELENA, ARKANSAS

Report

to

CEDAR CHEMICAL CORPORATION West Helena, Arkansas

GRUBBS, GARNER & HOSKYN, INC.
Consulting Engineers
Little Rock, Arkansas

JULY 1988

GRUBBS, GARNER & HOSKYN, INC. Job No. LR88-134

Report Format

Presented in this report are the results and recommendations that have evolved and developed from this study. Initial sections of this report describe the field and laboratory phases. These sections are followed by a description of the geology, ground water conditions, and general site and soil conditions. Subsequent sections of this report present results and conclusions.

FIELD STUDIES

Sample Borings
Subsurface conditions at the site were explored as follows:

Boring No.	Ground Surface Elev.*	Completion Depth, ft	Completion Elevation
1	194.0	48	146.0
2	195.3	140	55.3
3	195.2	43	152.2
4	194.8	53	141.8
5	196.8	48	148.8
6	194.1	150	44.1
7	194.4	46	148.4

^{*} Elevations are for top of concrete pad surrounding protective casing.

The approximate boring locations are shown on the Plan of Borings, Plate 2. The ground surface elevations for the borings were determined using benchmark El 200.2 for the top of rail above the existing concrete culvert. The stratigraphy and results of field and laboratory tests are summarized on the boring logs, Plates 3 through ll. A key to the terms and symbols used on the log forms is presented as Plate 12.

The sample borings were drilled using a truck-mounted rotary drilling rig. Soil samples were typically obtained at 2-ft intervals through the upper fine-grained soils and at 5-ft intervals below that.

GRUBBS, GARNER & HOSKYN, INC. Job No. LR88-134

Cohesive soils were sampled using a 3-inch diameter thin-walled tube hydraulically advanced into the soil. Granular soils were sampled using a 2-inch diameter split-barrel sampler. The values (N-values) presented in the "Blows Per Ft" column on the boring logs represent the number of blows of a 140-lb hammer falling 30 inches to drive the split-barrel sampler.

All soil samples were removed from the samplers in the field and were visually classified by our soil technician. Shear strengths of cohesive soils were estimated in the field using a calibrated hand penetrometer. The estimated cohesion values are plotted on the log forms, in tons per sq ft, as small circles enclosing an "x". The samples were then sealed in appropriate containers for transfer to our laboratory for further testing.

Piezometer Installation

Borings 1 through 7 were advanced using wet rotary drilling procedures. Potable water obtained from the city water supply system was used as the drilling fluid. Borings 2A, 3A, and 6A were advanced using dry auger procedures. The purpose of Borings 2A, 3A, and 6A was to evaluate ground water conditions within the upper fine-grained soil strata.

Piezometers were installed in each of the boreholes. The piezometer riser pipe and screen consisted of threaded PVC pipe. The screen openings were machine-cut 0.010-inch slots. No. 2 blast sand was used for the filter pack around the slotted screen. A single, approximately 3-ft seal was constructed above the sand fill using bentonite pellets. A cement/bentonite grout was placed from the top of the bentonite seal to the ground surface. Protective steel casing was then set into the grout to enclose the PVC riser. The piezometer installation details are shown on Plate 13.

Field Permeability Testing

Variable-head tests were conducted on selected piezometers using both falling-head and rising-head procedures. Estimated permeability

values were computed using the data obtained and appropriate formulae (Hvorslev, U. S. Corps of Engineers, W.E.S.). The computed field permeability estimates are tabulated in a subsequent section of this report.

LABORATORY TESTING

Classification and Index Testing

Classification testing consisted of plastic and liquid limit tests and sieve analyses through the No. 200 sieve. The plastic and liquid limit and moisture content test results are plotted in accordance with the scale and symbols presented in the legend in the upper-right portion of each boring log form. The percentage of soil passing the No. 200 sieve is noted in the "Minus No. 200" column on the log forms. The results of the classification tests are summarized on Plates 14 through 16. Selected grain size curves are also shown graphically on Plate 17.

Permeability Tests

Laboratory permeability testing was conducted on undisturbed soil samples using falling-head test procedures. In the falling-head test, de-aired water is allowed to flow under gravity through a specimen of known cross-sectional area, and the "head" loss is recorded. Computations are then performed for each test to determine the coefficient of permeability. The permeability test results are noted at appropriate depths on the log forms and are also tabulated on Plates 14 through 16.

SITE GEOLOGY

The project site is located in the Mississippi Embayment Physiographic Region. The surficial deposits at the site are composed of geologically recent alluvium of Quaternary Age. These deposits typically grade from silt and clay in the upper portion to sand with

¹ Test procedures in accordance with T. W. Lambe, Soil Testing for Ingineers. John Wiley & Sons.

gravel in the lower part.

At the project site, the thickness of the fine-grained soil cap is in the order of 25 to 40 ft. Portions of these upper soils apparently consist of outwash from Crowley's Ridge, as evidenced by the relatively high silt content. These soils likely represent swale-fill and flood-basin deposits.

The lower portion of the Quaternary unit consists of silty and very fine-grained sand to coarse-grained sand with some gravel. The alluvium generally becomes more coarse-grained and cleaner with increasing depth. These sand units are apparently channel-lag, channel-bar, and point-bar deposits.

On the basis of our sample borings, the base of the Quaternary sands is near El 50 to 60 at the project site. As shown on the Structural Contour Map (Plate 18), the base of the alluvial aquifer slopes downward to the southwest away from Crowley's Ridge. The contours shown are based on boring data in conjunction with the available U. S. Geological Survey Well Data.

The Quaternary alluvium is underlain by the undifferentiated Jackson-Claiborne Group. This unit crops out on Crowley's Ridge in Phillips, Cross, St. Francis, and Lee Counties. The Jackson Group was deposited primarily under marine conditions and typically consists of gray, brown, and green silty clay with some lignite. The upper portion of the Claiborne Group typically consists of silty clay with some interbedding of thin and discontinuous beds of sand and lignite. The Jackson-Claiborne clays act as a confining bed under the alluvial aquifer.

The upper clay of the Claiborne Group is underlain by the Sparta Sand in Phillips County. Sparta Sand consists mainly of gray, very fine to medium sand with brown and gray sandy clay. Most of the formation was deposited as the beach of an advancing sea. According to available U.S.G.S. mapping, the top of the Sparta Sand is present near El -200 (approximately 400-ft depth). The thickness of the Sparta sand is in the order of 300 to 400 ft. The Sparta sand is the rajor deep ground water aquifer in the area. The potentiometric

GRUBBS, GARNER & HOSKYN, INC. Job No. LR88-134

surface in the Sparta sand is near El 150, and the direction of flow is to the southwest.

WELL SURVEY

Domestic and industrial water supply in the area is obtained from the municipal system. As shown on Plate 19, the West Helena water supply is obtained from deep wells extending into the Sparta sand aquifer. According to U.S.G.S. information, the Sparta Sand well yields approximately 750 gallons per minute.

Wells within the Quaternary aquifer are present in the vicinity of the project site. These wells are used for irrigation and are in the order of 100 to 135 ft in depth. Yields range from approximately 700 to 1000 gallons per minute. The approximate well locations are shown on Plate 19. This information was obtained both from the U.S.G.S. files and from a local landowner.

GENERAL SOIL CONDITIONS

The stratigraphy encountered in the sample borings at the project site may be generalized as follows:

Stratum I:

Interbedded very stiff to firm tan, gray, and brown silty clay (CL) and clayey silt (ML) was encountered at the ground surface over the project site to depths of 27 to 42 ft. The base of the upper fine-grained soils is near El 155 to 170. Coefficients of permeability in the silty clay portion were found to range from 8.5 x 10^{-8} to 3.0 x 10^{-7} cm/sec. In the clayey silt portions, the coefficients of permeability were found to range from 2.5 x 10^{-7} to as high as 4.0 x 10^{-5} cm/sec;

Stratum II:

Medium dense to dense silty fine sand was encountered beneath Stratum I to depths of 134 to 143 ft. As shown on Plate 18, the base of the alluvial sand is at El 51 to 61 over the site. The upper portions of this stratum were found to be very fine-grained with a high silt content. Below depths of approximately 50 ft, the alluvium was found to generally consist of relatively clean fine to coarse sand with some gravel. As a

consequence, the lower portions of the sand are of much higher permeability. The permeability of this stratum is discussed in a subsequent section of this report; and

Stratum III: The basal stratum was found to consist of very stiff dark gray sandy clay with lignite. anticipate that the coefficient of permeability of this stratum is less than 1.0 x 10-7 cm/sec.

To assist in discussion and visualization of subsurface stratigraphy, two (2) Generalized Soils Profiles were prepared and are shown on Plates 20 and 21. These profiles are considered to be representative of overall conditions. In using the profiles, it should be understood that the subsurface stratigraphy between borings was inferred from conditions encountered in the borings. Variations in stratigraphy and soil conditions should be anticipated. Additionally, the natural transition between alluvial soil types present at the site is generally gradual, and the indicated boundaries cannot be considered as precise.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Hydraulic Conductivity

The hydraulic conductivity of the alluvial aquifer was estimated using both field and laboratory testing procedures. The results of the field variable-head ("slug") tests are as follows:

Piezometer	Depth of Interval		Estimated Coefficient of
No.	Tested, ft	Type	Permeability, cm/sec
1	38 - 48	falling-head	3.6 x 10 ⁻⁵
2	125 - 135	falling-head	2.4×10^{-2}
3	33 - 43	falling-head	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁴
4	42 - 52	falling-head	2.8 x 10 ⁻⁵
5	38 - 48	falling-head	5.1 x 10 ⁻⁵
6	138 - 148	falling-head	2.5 x 10 ⁻²
7	35 - 45	falling-head	7.1×10^{-4}
		rising-head	4.6 x 10 ⁻⁴

As shown, the hydraulic conductivity of the deeper sands is in the order of 2.5 x 10^{-2} cm/sec. The hydraulic conductivity of the upper more fine-grained silty sands, however, is in the order of 3.0 x 10^{-5} to 5.0 x 10^{-4} cm/sec.

On the basis of grain size curves and the Hazen Formula, the permeability of the deeper sand units is in the order of 1.0×10^{-2} to 4.0×10^{-2} cm/sec. The hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer was also computed using a well formula for the yield and depth of the nearby irrigation well. On that basis, we computed a hydraulic conductivity of 3.0×10^{-2} cm/sec.

In summary, it appears that the hydraulic conductivity of the cleaner sand is approximately 3.0×10^{-2} cm/sec. Published data, however, indicates higher hydraulic conductivities in other portions of Phillips County. The lower hydraulic conductivity obtained at the site is apparently related to the silty and relatively fine-grained character of the sand.

The hydraulic conductivities of the upper silty clay and clayey silt soils were found to be quite variable. The cleaner and predominantly silt soils possess much higher conductivities than the silty clay soils. Hydraulic conductivities as high as 4.0×10^{-5} cm/sec were obtained for Boring 6.

Ground Water Movement why see these water sights aithert from how in Plates

follows:

The ground water levels obtained on June 22, 1988 are as

follows:

Ground to the same any - other or to S

Piezometer	Surface	Water	Water
No.	Elevation	Depth. ft	Elevation
1	194.0	27.9 ~	166.1/
2	195.3	28.9 27.0	166.4 168.3
2A	195.4	Dry	
3	195.2	28.9 29	166.3
3A	195.2	Dry	
4	194.8	28.8 27	166.0 167.8
5	196.8	30.2	166.6
6	194.1	28.3 26	165.8 168.1
6A	194.0	11.7	182.3
7	194.4	28.2 00	166.2 68.4

The potentiometric surface contours for June 22, 1988 are shown on Plate 22. The potentiometric surface slopes from El 166.6 in the eastern portion of the plant site to near El 165.8 near the southwest corner. In other words, the ground water surface is sloping generally to the southwest.

The data obtained in this study correlates relatively well with the Potentiometric Surface Map by the U. S. Geological Survey for fall of 1985. The regional direction of ground water flow was generally to the southwest towards a depression around and near the city of DeWitt.

As discussed previously, our analyses would indicate that the hydraulic conductivity of the deeper Quaternary sands is in the order of 3.0 x 10⁻² cm/sec. Based on recorded water levels, we computed an average hydraulic gradient across the site of 0.0006. Using the aforementioned hydraulic conductivity and an average saturated thickness of 27 meters (90 ft), we computed a transmissivity of 700 m² per day (7650 ft² per day). The velocity of flow through the sand aquifer is computed to be on the order of 0.02 meters per day (0.05 ft per day).

Published data indicates that the transmissivity of the alluvial aquifer in Phillips County is generally in the order of 34,000 to 35,000 ft² per day. At the site, however, the transmissivity is apparently reduced by the lower hydraulic conductivity of the fine sand and silty fine sand soils. Also, the transmissivity of the upper very silty fine sand soils was neglected in our computations. Due to the high silt content of this upper zone, the contribution to the overall transmissivity is relatively minor.

These well locations are based on the recorded potentiometric surface of June, 1988 and the plant facility locations. These monitoring wells should be constructed to monitor the sand of the alluvial aquifer. Also, one (1) shallow well should be installed to monitor ground water quality within the "perched" ground zone observed in Piezometer 6A.

LOG OF BORING NO. 2 Cedar Chemical Company

West Helena, Arkansas

H. FI	10F	168		ER FT	DRY WT	0		OHE:		TON/S	-	1.4	
DEPTH. FT	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL SURF. EL: 195.3	BLOWS PER	UNIT DR	LI	ASTIC MIT +	0 3		ENT, %		LIQUID LIMIT	- No. 200
5			Stiff to very stiff tan clayey silt									•	
0	1		Stiff brown and tan silty clay		95		k =	3.0	x 11	0-7	n/se	ec .	9
5	1		Firm brown clayey silt				8		•				10
20	1		Firm to soft gray and brown silty clay to very silty clay w/ferrous stains and rootlets				88		•				-
5	1		Gray below 24 ft			8			•				
0		×	Dense tan and gray silty fine sand w/gray sandy silt seams at 29 to 30 ft	37									-
5		×		51									-
0		×		48				•					-
5		×	-fine to medium sand below 48 ft	50									-
0-		×	40 11		15"								
∃.	11	X	TION DEPTH: 140 ft DF	75	13"				7.7				

LOG OF BORING NO. 2 (CONT.) Cedar Chemical Company West Helena, Arkansas TYPE: Wash LOCATION: See Plate 1 COHESION, TON/SQ FT DRY WT DEPTH, FT SAMPLES BLOWS PER 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 200 DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL SCALE CHANGE PLASTIC WATER CONTENT, % LIQUID 2 UNIT LIMIT SURF. EL: 195.3 20 30 40 50 70 60 48 60 50 70 53 Some gravel 72 to 72.5 ft and 75 to 78 ft 50 80 82/13" 78/15" 83/13" 90 80/13" Some gravel at 97 to 103 ft 50/6" 100 50/6" Gravel frequent 106 to 107 ft 110 37 80/15" 50/4" 120 50 44" 50/4" 130 Very stiff dark gray sandy 56 40 clay and silty clay 41 140 -w/light gray sand pockets COMPLETION DEPTH: 140 ft DEPTH TO WATER DATE: IN BORING: 27 ft

DATE: 6/8/88

Grubbs, Garner & Hoskyn, Inc. Consulting Engineers

6/8/88

LOG OF BORING NO. 3

Cedar Chemical Company West Helena, Arkansas

_	15	1	Market Music State	t	F		cc	HESI	ON, T	ON/SQ	FT		T
DEPTH. FT	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL	BLOWS PER	UNIT DRY WT		STIC	0.6 C	O.S	1.0 ER 'NT, %		I.4	No 200 %
	-/	2	SURF. EL: 195.2		5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	1
	6%		Fill: Crushed stone and silts					10					
5	1		Stiff brown silty clay with ferrous stains and clayey					. 1	8				1
	1/		silt pockets and seams (odor)										1
		•	(odor)				k =	8.5	x 1	0-8	m/sec		1
0	11						-			8		-	-1
	11		Stiff to firm gray and tan					•	8	8		+	+
5	批		clayey silt to very silty										
7	111		-less clayey below 18 ft		93			10					
0	11/		(odor)				k =	1.9	x 1	0-6	m/sec		
	1		Firm gray and brown very	Ī			0						1
	14		silty clay w/ferrous stains (odor)						•				1
5	W		Firm to soft brown and tan clayey silt w/ferrous stains			8			•				7
	141		Gray below 28 ft w/some fine sand			8	1						
0	111	<u>X</u>		23				-	•				
-			Medium dense to dense gray silty fine sand (wet)	28								1	1
													1
		X		32				•					1
악		X		38						+			1
	1.15	+			15, 15			4					4
5		1				3-14		-	-			-	1
							37						
1													
						-6-1							
												1	-
	COMP	LET	10N DEPTH: 43 ft DE1	PTH	TO W	TER	V. 1 (1)				6/20		_

Grubbs, Garner & Hoskyn. Inc.

LOG OF BORING NO. 5

Cedar Chemical Company West Helena, Arkansas

t	7(ES		RFT	TWT			1-		TON/SQ			*
DEPTH, FT	SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL SURF. EL: 196.8		BLOWS PER	UNIT DRY WT	PL	PLASTIC		CONT	-		QUID MIT	- No 200.
	n	Н		_		1	0 2	0	30 4	50	60	70	
5 .			Very stiff gray and tan very silty clay to clayey silt				•			× 10-6) on/s	SAC	
	11	_	Stiff tan silty clay		96			-	8				100
10 -	H		Stiff tan clayey silt					8	-				
15			Firm brown and tan silty clay (Moist) to clayey silt				8		•				
							8		•				
20			Firm gray and brown silty clay w/ferrous stains			8	9		•				
25							8		•				
30 -	W		Firm gray and tan clayey silt -w/some fine sand					8	•			1 19	
	\mathcal{H}						0		•				
35 -		×	Dense tan silty fine sand	32									
40-		×		45				•					
45		×		40									
50 -	: . .;												

LOG OF BORING NO. 6

Cedar Chemical Company West Helena, Arkansas

	_	*		H	*_			CON	ESIC	ON, TO	ON/S	-		
DEPTH, FT	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL	BLOWS PER	UNIT DRY WT		PLASTIC LIMIT		. w		VATER		LIQUID	
	דוש	2	SURF. EL: 194.1		5	10		20	30	40	50	60	70	'
	14	4	Stiff to soft brown silty clar w/clayey silt pockets	7										
5 -			Stiff to firm tan clayey silt w/ferrous nodules							9				
10			Stiff gray and brown silty clay w/ferrous stains and clayey silt pockets (odor)								8			
10	H		Firm gray and tan clayey silt (odor above 17 ft)				8							
15	H						8	+			-			
20 -														
	H				95		8	1	•				n/se	c 10
25	H		-gray w/some silty clay seams				•		Non	-p1a	sti	2		10
30 -	H		below 28 ft				8			,	9			
35	\mathcal{H}								9					
40-	1							8						
		×	Dense gray silty fine sand -less silty and coarser with increasing depth	36										
45			a april	30										
50-		×		40					-					
		V		46										

LOG OF BORING NO. 6 (CONT.)

Cedar Chemical Company West Helena, Arkansas

SURF. EL: 194.1 SURF. EL: 194.1 PLASTIC WATER CONTENT,% LIQUID LIMIT 10 20 30 40 50 60 70	=				E	*		co	HESIC	ON, TO	N/SQ	FT		
Survey 194.1	EPTH.	SYMBO	AMPLE	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL	WS PE	B/CU F	PLAS	TIC		WATER	,	LI	QUID	000
-fine to medium sand below 51 56 83/10" -tan and gray w/some gravel below 76 ft 60 57 50/7" 56 78/15" 50/7" 50/6" 1100 77/16" 72/14" 80/11" Very stiff dark gray sandy clay w/lignite layers	٥			BLO	2	+						+	1	
57 ft 56														
-tan and gray w/some gravel below 76 ft 51 60 -90 -x -mostly fine sand 108 to 112 ft 50/7" 50/7" 50/7" 50/6" 78/15" 78/15" 78/15" 78/15" 78/16" 72/14" 80/11"	-60		X	-fine to medium sand below 57 ft	51			•		+				-
-tan and gray w/some gravel below 76 ft -tan and gray w/some gravel below 76 ft -tan and gray w/some gravel 51 60 57 50/7" 56 78/15" 78/15" 50/7" 50/6" 50 77/16" 72/14" Very stiff dark gray sandy clay w/lignite layers Very stiff dark gray sandy clay w/lignite layers										9				
below 76 ft 51 60 57 50/7" 56 78/15" 50/7" 50/6" 50/6" 77/16" 72/14" 80/11" 80/11" 80/11"	70													1
90 57 50/7" 56 78/15" 50/7" 50/6" 50/6" 50/6" 50/6" 50/6" 50/6" 50/6" 50/6" 50/6" 50/7" 50/6" 50/7" 50/6" 50/7"	80		×	-tan and gray w/some gravel below 76 ft										
100 1			×											
100	90		8		57							4		
The state of the stand 108 to 112 ft			8		50/	7"		•						
-mostly fine sand 108 to 112 ft -mostly fine sand 108 to 112 ft 50/7" 50/6" 77/16" 72/14" 80/11" Very stiff dark gray sandy clay w/lignite layers	100		*					+		-	+			1
120				mostly fine sand 108 to 112		2000								
120:	110		×		1								1 2	1
72/14" 80/11" Very stiff dark gray sandy clay w/lignite layers 72/14"	120		8											
Very stiff dark gray sandy clay w/lignite layers			*		77,	16"								-
Very stiff dark gray sandy clay w/lignite layers	130		2		72/	14"	-		+					1
clay w/lignite layers	140		N		80/	11"								
-150 70/16"		7	×	Very stiff dark gray sandy	50/	7"		+					0-1	
	150		2		70/	16"			+	-				1

LOG OF BORING NO. 7 Cedar Chemical Company

West Helena, Arkansas

DEPTH. FT	0	£ 3		PER FT	TW.	0.		COHE	SION,	·	SQ FT			*
0	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL	BLOWS P	UNIT DRY	PLA	STIC		WA	TER TENT,		LIQU	ID	- No 200
-	VI I	7	SURF. EL: 194.4		1	10	2	0	30	0 !	0 6	0 7	0	
5			Very stiff to stiff brown and tan silty clay w/ferrous stains and clayey silt pocker and seams Brown and grav below 4 ft Stiff brown and tan clayey silt w/ferrous stains					8		8			*	
0	H_{μ}								8		-			+
5			Stiff tan very silty clay -w/clayey silt seams		92		k	= 1 +•	3 x	10-	cm,	sec		99
	Y								8					-
	И,	-							8					1
201	M	٠,	Soft to firm gray and tan to				8							
15		-	very silty clay to clayey silt w/ferrous stains		90	8	k	= 6	4 x	10-	cm/	sec		9
	1		Medium dense light gray fine											-
	Щ		sandy silt w/ferrous stains						8					1
50 f.	111	1	Stiff dark gray sandy clay		1				8					1
35			W/shells Dense tan and gray silty fine sand (wet) -gray below 30 ft	32					•					
0		X		38				4						1
-										ME	100			
5		X		43									-	-
-														1
\exists														1
_		1								-	-		-	+
-						K					1.3		1	
		-						10			130			1

SYMBOLS AND TERMS USED ON BORING LOGS

SOIL TYPES ISHOWN COLUMNI









IN SAMPLES COLUMN)



SAMPLER TYPES



Predominant type shown heavy

Shelby Tube

Piston

Split Spoon

No Recovery

TERMS DESCRIBING CONSISTENCY OR CONDITION

COARSE GRAINED SOILS (major portion retained on No 200 sieve). Includes (I) clean gravels and sends, and (2) sitty or clayey gravets and sends. Condition is rated according to relative density, as determined by laboratory tests.

DESCRIPTIVE TERM	RELATIVE	DENSITY
Loose	O 10	40%
Med-um dense	40 to	70%
Dense	70 to	100%

FINE GRAINED SOILS (major portion passing No 200 sieve). Includes (1) inorganic and organic sits and clays, (2) gravelly, sandy, or sity clays and (3) clayey sits. Consistency is rated according to shearing strength, as indicated by penetrometer readings or by unconfined compression tests

	UNCONFINED
DESCRIPTIVE TERM	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH
	TON/SQ FT
Very soft	less then 0.25
Soft	0.25 to 0.50
Firm	0.50 te 1.00
Stiff	1.00 to 2.00
Very stiff	2.00 to 400
Hara	4.00 and higher

here 5 corn dec and fesured clays may have lower underfined compressive strengths ther show store because of planes of meanness or cracks in the sc . The consistence ratings of such so is are cased on penetremeter readings

TERMS CHARACTERIZING SOIL STRUCTURE

S: ckensides - having inclined planes of weakness that are slick and glossy in appearance.

F. SSL Ted - containing shrinkage cracks, frequently filled with fine sand or sit; usually more or less vertical.

Laminated - composed of thin layers of varying color and texture

Interbedded - composed of alternate layers of different soil types.


Calcareous - containing appreciable quantities of calcium carbonate.

Well graded - having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes.

Poorly graded - predominantly of one grain size, or having a range of sizes with some . intermediate size missing.

Terms used in this report for describing soils according to their testure or grain size distribution are in accordance with the UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM, as described in behavior Memorandum No 3-357, Waterways Esperiment Station, Marca 1953

PIEZOMETER NO.	GROUND SURFACE	SCREENED	INTERVAL	FILTER SAND					
	ELEVATION	DEPTH, FT.	ELEVATION	DEPTH, FT.	ELEVATION				
1	194.0	38 - 48	156 - 146	29 - 48	165 - 146				
2	195.3	125 - 135	70 - 60	28 - 140	167 - 55				
2A	195.4	11 - 16	184 - 179	9 - 16	186 - 179				
3	195.2	33 - 43	162 - 152	24 - 43	171 - 152				
3A	195.2	13 - 18	182 - 177	11 - 18	184 - 177				
4	194.8	42 - 52	153 - 143	32 - 53	163 - 142				
5	196.8	38 - 48	167 - 149	30 - 48	159 - 149				
6	194.1	138 - 148	56 - 46	40 - 150	154 - 44				
6A	194.0	19 - 24	175 - 170	17 - 24	177 - 170				
7	194.4	35 - 45	159 - 149	27 - 46	167 - 148				



PIEZOMETER INSTALLATION DETAILS

SUMMARY OF CLASSIFICATION TESTS


SAMPLED	LOCATION DEPTH, FT.	CONTENT	L.L.	P. L.	P. 1.	MECHANICAL ANALYSIS PERCENT FINER						PERMEABILITY.	OL AB	
FROM						3 IM.	3/4 IN.	9/0 IN.	NO. 4	NO.10	NO. 40	NO.200	0=/986	PICATI
B-1	13 - 13.5	29.6	37	24	13	-	-	-6	-	_	-	100	1.3 x 10 ⁻⁷	CL
	23 - 23.5	34.5	45	25	20	1	-		-	100	99	98	1.9 x 10 ⁻⁷	CL
В-2	7 - 7.5	27.1	38	24	14	-	1		-	-	100	98	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁷	CI.
	13 - 13.5	30.4				-	-		1	-	1	100		ML
	39 - 40	22.9				-	-			100	99	7		SP
	134 - 135	21.1				1		-	100	99	97	56		CL
	139 - 140	24.3	40	16	24									CL
В-3	9 - 9.5	25.6	39	24	15		-	-	-	-	-	100	8.5 x 10 ⁻⁸	CL
	17 - 17.5	28.6	32	26	6		-	-	1	-	100	99	1.9 x 10 ⁻⁶	MI.

SUMMARY OF CLASSIFICATION TESTS

SAMPLED	LOCATION DEPTH, FT.	CONTENT	L.L.	P. L.	P. 1.	MECHANICAL ANALYSIS PERCENT FINER							PERNEADILITY,	GL AS
FROM						9 IN.	3/4 IN.	3/0 IN.	NO. 4	NO.10	NO. 40	HO.200	0=/920	PICAT
B-3	40.5 - 41.5	25.3					-		1-1	100	99	18		SM
B-4	9 - 9.5	22.9	33	26	7	1	-	1	100	97	92	90	2.5 x 10 ⁻⁷	ML
	27 - 27.5	27.8	28	26	2	1			-	-		100	1.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	ML
B-5	7 - 7.5	24.0	36	26	10	-	ı	-	1	-		100	4.9 x 10 ⁻⁶	ML
	10.5 - 11	29.1	30	28	2									ML
B-6	23 - 23.5	28.1	Non-	plastic		1			1	1	1	100	4.0 x 10 ⁻⁵	ML
	25 - 25.5	30.5	29	28	1.		1	-	1	1		100		MI.
	59 - 60	19.4				•	•			100	77	3		SP
	119 - 120	23.0				-	100	93	93	91	61	9		SP

SUMMARY OF CLASSIFICATION TESTS

SAMPLED	DEPTH, FT.	CONTENT		P. L.	P. 1.	MECHANICAL ANALYSIS PERCENT PINER						PERMEADILITY,	CL AST	
FROM			L.L.			3 IM.	3/4 IN.	3/0 IN.	NO. 4	MO.10	NO.40	MO.200	0=/020	PICAT
B-6		101.6				-	_	100	84	53	18	2	(ligni	te)
	143.5 - 144			9/4										
B-7		28.6	34	24	10	-	-	-	-	-	100	99	1.3 x 10 ⁻⁷	CL - ML
180	13 - 13.5					7. (1)								
	24.5 - 25.5	33.1	32	26	6	-	-	-	-	100	98	97	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁷	ML
y CRIE/														No.
												-		_
												No.		
	14.2											Mary S		
		1962												
		311 34									TT			



0.019


0.011


59 - 60


119 - 120


*Based on Hazen Formula


 1.2×10^{-2}











10501 Stagecoach Road P.O. Box 5239 Little Rock, AR 72215 501-455-2536 Fax: (501) 455-4137

April 5, 1989

Cedar Chemical Company P. O. Box 2749 West Helena, Arkansas 72390

Attention: Mr. Joe Porter

MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION CEDAR CHEMICAL PLANT WEST HELENA, ARKANSAS

Dear Mr. Porter:

As requested, we have reviewed piezometric data you have been collecting during the past several months and have prepared a series of plates showing the potentiometric surface. These plates are transmitted herewith as Appendix A. We have also reviewed and modified our cost estimate to reflect items listed in your letter dated November 21, 1988.

Listed below are the proposed well depths to conform to recommendations presented in our letter dated September 26, 1988 with modifications that were requested by Mr. Mark Simpson (ADPC&E) and listed in your letter of November 21, 1988:

Well No.	Ground Elev.	Max. Depth To Water, Ft.	Min. Depth To Water, Ft.	Well Depth, Ft.	Screen Length, Ft.	Pipe Length, Ft.
MW-1	194.0	29.0	18.0	40	10	32
MW-2	195.3	30.4	19.0	40	10	32
MW-3	195.2	30.3	19.0	40	10	32
MW-4	194.8	29.8	18.5	80	10	72
MW-4A				50	10	42
MW-4B				30	10	22
MW-4C				10	5	7
MW-5	196.8	31.6	20.8	42	10	34

Proposed well locations are shown on Plate 1, attached. These locations are the same as shown in our letter dated September 26, 1988. In view of the more recent piezometric information, it may be appropriate to move MW-2 north to about the location of B-1.

GRUBBS, GARNER & HOSKYN, INC. Cedar Chemical Corp.-Monitoring Wells

April 5, 1989 Page 2

Our cost estimate has been reviewed and revised to reflect the additional wells at the down-gradient location (MW-4). This revised cost estimate is presented in Appendix B.

If you have any questions about the information presented in or with this letter, please call.

Sincerely,

GRUBBS, GARNER & HOSKYN, INC.

John P. Hoskyn, P.E.

Vice President

JPH/dgf


Copies Submitted: Cedar Chemical Company


Attn: Mr. Joe Porter

(3)


FORM DELLE

APPENDIX A








· · · (E- -





.















































MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Cedar Chemical Company possesses no documentation concerning the monitoring well design of the onsite wells.